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Abstract

Graphene has emerged as one of the most versatile materials ever discovered due to its extraordinary electronic, optical, thermal, and mechanical properties. However, device fabrication is a well-known challenge and requires novel fabrication methods to realize the complex integration of graphene-based devices. Here, we demonstrate direct laser writing of reduced graphene oxide using femtosecond-laser irradiation at \( \lambda = 795 \) nm. We perform a systematic study of the reduction process of graphene oxide to graphene by varying both the laser fluence and the pulse repetition rate. Our observations show that the reduction has both thermal and non-thermal features, and suggest that we can achieve better resolution and conductivity using kHz pulse trains than using MHz pulse trains or a continuous wave laser. Our reduced graphene oxide lines written at 10-kHz exhibit a 5 order-of-magnitude decrease in resistivity compared to a non-irradiated control sample. This study provides new insight into the reduction process of graphene oxide and opens doors to achieving a high degree of flexibility and control in the fabrication of graphene layers.
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1. Introduction

Graphene is the thinnest and strongest material ever found with massless fermions and extraordinary thermal and electrical conductivity [1,2]. In order to make use of these properties in actual devices, fabrication techniques that produce patterned sub-micrometer features still need to be developed [3–6]. Current nanofabrication techniques for graphene are limited in resolution, dimension, or graphene quality [1–4,6,7]. Exfoliation and chemical reduction techniques are cost-effective and scalable but generate structural and chemical defects, leading to low-quality graphene sheets. These approaches cannot readily produce the shapes required for the fabrication of realistic devices and require additional steps for patterning [8–12]. Carbon nanotube unzipping methods produce high-quality graphene sheets but suffer from low throughput and lack of patterning abilities [13–16]. Chemical vapor deposition (CVD) and epitaxial growth methods permit large area, patterned production of graphene, but at a prohibitive cost. Additionally, the use of these methods is hindered by high temperature and vacuum requirements and a limited selection of suitable substrates [17–21]. Furthermore, the transfer process of CVD or epitaxially grown graphene layers to other substrates result in large surface roughness, folds, defects, and a decline in polarization-dependent absorption [21]. The development of reliable fabrication methods of high-quality graphene that are scalable and cost-effective for device fabrication is thus still an ongoing challenge.

In recent years, the photoreduction of graphene oxide to fabricate graphene has emerged as an appealing alternative because photoreduction does not rely on either high temperatures or toxic chemicals [3,22–23]. Photoreduction of graphene oxide by means of focused laser beams can produce patterned graphene structures [3,24–28]. The advantages of this technique are that it is reliable, scalable, cost-effective, and does not require the use of any predefined patterned masks for rapid-prototyping. Previous photoreduction work utilized UV, Hg, Xe lamps or continuous wave lasers in which the reduction mechanism resulted from linear absorption leading to thermal reduction [3,24–28]. Ultrafast optical pulses have been shown to induce material modification or chemical reactions through nonlinear absorption, but this approach remains largely unexplored [3,28,29].

In this communication, we use graphene oxide layers as templates to fabricate graphene patterns, and we systematically study
the effect of ultrafast laser parameters on the reduction of graphene oxide to graphene to increase quality and achieve higher resolution patterning of graphene. Graphene oxide layers are easier to transfer to other substrates than graphene and permit the fabrication of thicker graphene layers [21]. We control the thermal vs. non-thermal reduction mechanism by varying the laser repetition rate, pulse duration, and laser fluence. We confirm the successful reduction of graphene oxide to graphene layers through optical images, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), and conductivity measurements. Our study suggests that we can obtain higher resolution and lower resistivity patterned graphite structures using a kHz-rate direct laser writing process.

2. Experimental procedures

2.1. Materials

We use commercial 170-nm-thick graphene oxide (GO) films (Graphene Laboratories Inc, NY, USA) deposited on 2-inch diameter glass substrates as the source material. For 4-probe conductivity measurements, we patterned gold contacts via optical lithography and electron-beam evaporation.

2.2. Laser fabrication

We use two different lasers to irradiate the samples: (1) a Ti:sapphire laser centered at 795 nm operating in either continuous-wave (CW) mode or in pulsed mode at an 11-MHz repetition rate, and a 300-fs pulse duration; (2) a Ti:sapphire laser centered at 794 nm operating at a 76-MHz, 10-kHz or 250-kHz repetition rate, with pulse durations of 125 fs, 180 fs or 400 fs. We adjust our laser spot sizes using different focal-length lenses to maintain similar spot sizes. For spot sizes of several tens of µm, we measure the spot size with a scanning-slit beam profiler to maintain similar spot sizes. For spot sizes of several tens of µm, we measure the spot size with a scanning-slit beam profiler to maintain similar spot sizes.

2.3. Characterization

We use optical microscopy and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) with an in-lens detector for post-fabrication characterization of structure dimensions. We analyze surface roughness using atomic force microscopy (AFM) and the elemental chemical composition of the surfaces using X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). In the XPS analysis, the laser-reduced graphene oxide samples are compared with the untreated control graphene oxide films to identify the concentration of the remaining oxygen. To test the quality of the reduced graphene oxide structures, we perform conductivity measurements using a 4-probe station.

3. Results

We irradiate graphene oxide films with different laser parameters to determine the reduction threshold of graphene oxide using CW light and a train of femtosecond pulses with varying fluence and repetition rate. Fig. 1 is an example of the direct-laser-written reduced graphene oxide patterns after washing off the graphene oxide substrate. For the purposes of our study, we focus on patterned dots to compare the fabricated sample size for a given threshold power.

We expect the absorptive properties of the graphene oxide film to change as the film is reduced to graphene layers. By monitoring the normalized transmission of the laser through the sample during irradiation, we correlate transmission changes with material modification. We show several typical transmission versus time plots in Fig. 2. From observing the time-dependent transmission using different laser powers, we can identify two distinct regimes. In the first regime (Fig. 2, left), transmission decreases as a function of irradiation, indicating a modification in the material. The energy absorbed in this process likely contributes in the reduction of graphene oxide to graphene layers. At higher laser power, the transmission saturates faster with time, reaching a minimum value of 52%. At even higher laser power, we reach a second regime where the transmission rapidly increases with time, consistent with thinning of the material. We expect thinner material due to smaller inter-layer distance for graphene than graphene oxide. We use the term threshold power for the laser power required to obtain saturation behavior (minimum transmission) before thinning of the material within a 30-s laser exposure. For the case of 21, the threshold is 90 ± 10 mW. After laser modification, the laser-irradiated spots are observed using an optical microscope.

3.1. CW laser irradiation

The laser spot size for CW laser irradiation is 35 µm in diameter. Fig. 2 shows the time-dependence of the transmission during irradiation at various laser powers. The left plot is representative of the first regime, where transmission of the reduced area decreases until saturation. The plot on the right shows the second regime, where transmission increases again. The threshold power of the film is 90 ± 10 mW. Fig. 3 shows optical images of the CW laser-irradiated spot. The diameter of the laser-modified area for a 100-mW irradiated sample is about 50 µm, significantly larger than the original laser spot size. This is consistent with material modification due to thermal effects. At 90 mW, we start seeing darker regions in the middle of the spots indicating formation of graphite layers, which we will discuss in more detail below.

3.2. 76-MHz laser irradiation

For the 76-MHz repetition-rate experiments, we used a pulse duration of 180 fs and a laser spot diameter of 35 µm. From the time dependence of the transmission for this irradiation (analogous to Fig. 2), we identify a threshold power of about 60 mW. Optical images show that the diameter of the laser modified area is 40 µm, comparable to the original laser spot size. Dark regions in the middle of the irradiate area appear at laser powers of 60 mW.
3.3. 250-kHz laser irradiation

At 250 kHz, we use pulse durations of 400 fs and 125 fs and a laser spot size of 35 µm. The threshold power of the film is around 30 mW for 400-fs pulses and 15 mW for 125-fs pulses; the average diameter of the laser-modified area is about 40 µm for 400-fs pulses and 35 µm for 125-fs pulses. Dark areas appear at laser powers corresponding to threshold powers and can be seen in the 60-mW and 10-mW optical images, respectively.

3.4. 10-kHz laser irradiation

At 10 kHz, we use a pulse duration of 180 fs and a laser spot size of 150 µm. The threshold power is around 10 mW and the diameter of the laser-modified area is about 40 µm, which is close to a quarter of the original laser spot size. Dark areas appear at 10 mW. All of these data are summarized in Table 1.

3.5. Surface analysis

We use AFM to further probe the structural properties of the laser-irradiated spots. Fig. 4 is an AFM image and a surface height plot of a cross-section across the middle of the laser irradiated area for a 76-MHz laser irradiated sample at 90 mW. Considering that graphene oxide has a similar structure to graphite with much wider spacing (0.6–1.2 nm) between layers due to its hygroscopic nature [4,30], we expect a much thinner layer of 2D graphite in the laser irradiated areas from the reduction of the functional groups between the graphene layers. Indeed, the laser-irradiated area is thinner than the non-irradiated area, as one would expect from the reduction of graphene oxide layers.

To determine whether laser irradiation leads to a reduction of the graphene oxide, we performed XPS measurements. Fig. 5 shows XPS data obtained from a sample irradiated with a 140-mW CW laser over a 50-µm sized area. The decrease in the C–O bonding peak in the reduced graphene oxide sample in the left plot of Fig. 5 is indicative of a reduction of the graphene oxide layer. The remaining C–O and C=O bonding peaks can be attributed to the non-irradiated area, as the theoretical spatial resolution of the XPS is only 50 µm. In addition to the decrease in C–O bonds, we observe a peak shift in the C–C bonding signal to a lower energy. This shift is in qualitative agreement with an increase of sp2 bonding (a unique characteristic of a defect free and pure graphene) which has a slightly lower binding energy than the sp3 bonding in graphene oxide. Unfortunately the XPS resolution is too low to fit individual sp2 and sp3 in the reduced graphene oxide data.

We also measure the conductivity of the patterned graphene structures. After evaporating rectangular gold contacts on the structures, we carry out a 4-point probe measurement. Fig. 6a shows an SEM image of a direct laser-written graphite line with the 4-point probe contacts. Scratches on the gold contacts are

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Laser type</th>
<th>Pulse duration (fs)</th>
<th>Energy threshold (mW)</th>
<th>Spot size (µm)</th>
<th>Sample size (µm)</th>
<th>Threshold peak intensity (W/m²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CW</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>9.36 × 10⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76 MHz</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>4.33 × 10¹²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250 kHz</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>3.12 × 10¹⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250 kHz</td>
<td>125-fs pulse</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>4.99 × 10¹⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 kHz</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>3.14 × 10¹⁴</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
due to the probes during the conductivity measurement. The graphene line in the image was written at a speed of 10 µm/s, a repetition rate of 10 kHz, and pulse duration of 200 fs.

Fig. 6b shows the resistance measured for the direct laser written lines using the setup in Fig. 6(a). Control is the resistance of an unaltered GO sample. For the CW experiment, we produced 2–4-µm-wide lines with a spot size of 1 µm and writing speed of 10–100 µm/s at 34 mW. We varied the writing speed to ensure full reduction. The 11-MHz experiment also had a spot size of 1 µm with a writing speed of 100 µm/s at 32 mW and it resulted in 1–2-µm wide lines. For the 10-kHz experiment, we produced 1–2-µm wide lines with a spot size of 5 µm at writing speeds of 10–100 µm/s and 40 mW. We tested writing speeds of 10–100 µm/s to confirm we achieve the threshold power when writing the lines. As the data show, lines written at 10-kHz exhibit a 5 order-of-magnitude decrease in resistivity compared to a non-irradiated control sample.

4. Discussion

Table 1 summarizes our results. The threshold peak intensity increases as the repetition rate is decreased, with irradiation at a low repetition rate (250 kHz and 10 kHz) yielding two orders of magnitude larger peak intensities than irradiation at a high repetition rate (76-MHz). The large differences in the peak intensities suggest that CW and 76-MHz laser irradiation result from a ther-
nal mechanism mediated by linear-absorption, while the 250-kHz irradiation involves both linear and nonlinear (multiphoton) absorption in the reduction of graphene oxide. We also note that the fabricated sample size is largest for CW irradiation and increases with the use of longer pulses. This trend is another indication that thermal accumulation during CW and low repetition-rate irradiation with long pulse durations causes the larger modified areas that we observe. At 10 kHz, the sample size is approximately a quarter of the laser spot size. Given that the repetition-rate is more than 10 times lower than at 250 kHz, the large difference in sample size suggests that at 10 kHz the graphene reduction is due to nonlinear optical photoreduction only rather than a combination of both linear and nonlinear photoreduction. At 10 kHz, we obtain the highest beam-spot to sample-size ratio, larger than any previously reported results [22–25]. Furthermore, the measured conductivity also indicates that the patterned graphene at 10 kHz has a resistivity of just $(6.3 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-4} \, \Omega \cdot \text{m}$, which is 5 orders of magnitude lower than the unreduced graphene oxide. This resistivity value refers to $(1.5 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{3} \, \text{S} / \text{m}$ in conductivity. While we find our value is one order of magnitude higher in resistivity and comparable or three orders of magnitude lower in conductivity than previous reports $(3.9 \times 10^{-2} \, \Omega \cdot \text{m} \text{ Ref. [25], 1.73 \times 10^{-3} \, \Omega \cdot \text{m} \text{ Ref. [31], 1.1 \times 10^{-3} \, \Omega \cdot \text{m} \text{ Ref. [32]})}$, we attribute this difference to the quality of our original graphene oxide substrate. This suggests that the purely nonlinear photoreduction at 10 kHz results in better resolution and minimal thermal degradation of the material. Finally, time-dependence of the transmission shows that it takes longer to reach saturation at the lower repetition rates.

### 5. Conclusion

In summary, we investigated the reduction of graphene oxide using femtosecond laser irradiation. We demonstrate direct laser writing of reduced graphene oxide structures and explore the reduction process over a range of laser fluences and repetition rates (from continuous wave to 76 MHz). By comparing the threshold powers required for graphene oxide modification and the size of the resulting structures, we can decouple thermal and nonthermal reduction. The direct laser-writing technique yields 1–2-μm-wide graphene lines with a 5 order of magnitude decrease in resistivity. This leads us to believe that graphene formation using nonlinear laser irradiation may provide more control over resolution and quality of the reduced graphene oxide structures when compared with conventional fabrication techniques, opening the door to achieving a high degree of flexibility and control in the fabrication of graphene layers.
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